

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/877,219	06/08/2001	Richard T. Heagy	8534	
75	590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard Heagy			LAZOR, MICHELLE A	
Fiberliner Networks 5858 Westheimer, Suite 708		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77057			1734	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A		511				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/877,219	HEAGY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michelle A Lazor	1734					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become A	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• •					
Driavity under 25 H S C S 440			•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stag	је				
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) ∐ Notice of li 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/877,219

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-34 and 63-78, drawn to a method, classified in class 264, subclass 269.
- II. Claims 35 62, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 425, subclass 175.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus, such as an apparatus who's lining members are substantially stiff.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - Group A: Method using a lining member comprising an inner and an outer layer.
- Group B: Method using a lining material forming a plurality of channels, said channels being separately inflatable.

Application/Control Number: 09/877,219

Art Unit: 1734

Group C: Method using a lining material comprising a lumen and a plurality of channels running in a direction lengthwise down the host conduit.

Group D: Method using a first lining material comprising a lumen and a second lining material within the lumen of the first lining material.

Group E: Method using a lining material comprising an inner and outer surface, the outer surface comprising a plurality of protruding spacers running in a lengthwise direction down the host conduit.

Group F: Method using a lining material comprising: forming a liner that is substantially flexible and comprising a surface with a slit running axially.

Group G: Method using a lining material comprising placing a lining material at least partially within a protective covering.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/877,219

Art Unit: 1734

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle A Lazor whose telephone number is 571-272-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Wed 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAL 3/17/04

RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700